

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/694,726		10/29/2003	Kou Yamamoto	XA-9964	4017
181	7590	11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
MILES &	STOCKE	BRIDGE PC	GOODEN JR, BARRY J		
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN,	VA 221	02-3833	3616		

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/694,726	YAMAMOTO, KOU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barry J. Gooden Jr.	3616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oct This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10/29/2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	election requirement. r. accepted or b)⊠ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	2	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/24/2004	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the abstract of the disclosure, line 2 the term "contractable" should be replaced with "contractible".

Throughout the specification the term "contractable" should be replaced with "contractible". (e.g. page 1, lines 2 and 20; page 2, line 1; page 4, line 21; page 7, line 8; page 10, lines 1, 6, and 13; page 11, line 7; and page 14, line 16)

At page 5, line 24 "an" should be replaced with "a".

At page 18, lines 3 and 24 "an" should be replaced with "a".

' Art Unit: 3616

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

At line 2 the term "contractable" should be replaced with "contractible".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese reference 2588338 cited by applicant.

In regards to claim 1, Japanese reference 2588338 cited by the applicant shows an extendable and contractible steering column apparatus including an outer column (20) through which an inner column (22) is slidably inserted, a lock housing portion is formed on the outer column (20). Japanese reference 2588338 cited by applicant also shows a locking mechanism that includes a pair of movable pieces (27 and 25, 34) slidably fitted within a cylinder bore (24) formed in the lock housing portion to shift the pair of movable pieces (27 and 25, 34) toward each other so as to release the pressure on the inner column (22), in response to swinging of an operating lever (36). The locking mechanism comprised of a threaded screw hole (34) in a first movable piece (25, 34), a through-hole (30) formed in a second movable piece (27), and a screw rod (31 and 35). The screw rod (31 and 35) having a threaded portion (32) inserted through the through-hole (30) of the second movable piece (27) and threaded in the threaded screw hole (34) of the first movable piece (25, 34) and a head portion (35) in contact with an end surface of the second movable piece (27) to which a base end portion (36) of the operating lever (36) is fixed.

Art Unit: 3616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese reference 2588338 cited by applicant.

In regards to claim 1, figure 4 of Japanese reference 2588338 cited by the applicant shows an extendable and contractible steering column apparatus including an outer column (20) through which an inner column (22) is slidably inserted, a lock housing portion is formed on the outer column (20).

Japanese reference 2588338 cited by applicant also shows a locking mechanism that includes a pair of movable pieces (27 and 25) slidably fitted within a cylinder bore (24) formed in the lock housing portion to shift the pair of movable pieces (27 and 25) toward each other so as to release the pressure on the inner column (22), in response to swinging of an operating lever (36). The locking mechanism comprised of a through-hole (30) formed in a second movable piece (27), and a screw rod (31 and 36). The screw rod (31 and 36) having a threaded portion (32) inserted through the through-hole (30) of the second movable piece (27) and a head portion (36) in contact with an end surface of the second movable piece (27) to which a base end portion (36) of the operating lever (36) is fixed.

Although it is not explicitly shown, the Japanese reference 2588338 cited by the applicant appears to show a threaded screw hole (29 or 32) in the first movable piece (25). Further as no other form of connection is shown, it would follow that the hole is threaded. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the first movable piece (25) of the Japanese reference 2588338 cited by the applicant to include the threaded screw hole in the first movable piece so as to provide a means of connection and to effectively secure the pieces together.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,726

Art Unit: 3616

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fujiu and Sato et al. disclose similar inventions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Barry J. Gooden Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-5135. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul

N. Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barry J Gooden Jr.

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3616

BJG

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600